Donner Summit Public Utility District

P.O. Box 610 53823 Sherritt Lane — Soda Springs California —95728 Phone (530) 426-3456 — Fax (530) 426-3460 www.dspud.com

Notice of Public Hearing Tuesday, September 15, 2009, 6PM At the Donner Summit Public Utility District 53823 Sherritt Lane, Soda Springs, CA

NOTICE IS GIVEN that on Tuesday, September 15, 2009, at 6PM, the Board of Directors of the Donner Summit Public Utility District will conduct a public hearing to consider the adoption of a proposed ordinance to increase the District's sewer rate by 15%. There is no proposed increase to the District's water rate. All property owners, ratepayers and other interested persons are invited to attend the hearing and present written and/or oral comments on, or written protests against, the proposed rate increase.

Reasons for the Proposed Rate Increase

Earlier this year the State of California, Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region issued the District a new waste discharge permit. For most of the year the District discharges its treated effluent into the South Yuba River. The new permit requires 1) additional sampling of the treated effluent into the South Yuba River and 2) the increase use of certain chemicals. These two items account for 60% of the total overall increase from the 2008/09 operating budget.

The remaining 40% of additional operating costs come from: increased premiums for health care and property/liability/auto insurance, increased fees to state and county governments, lease payments for a loader that was purchased in 2008/09 to replace the 25 year old loader that became irreparable, and a renewed land lease necessary to spray irrigate the treated effluent in the summer on the Soda Springs ski hill.

In addition to these annual expenses the District must now submit to the State of California a number of reports and studies in order to meet the new discharge permit requirements. The estimated cost for this work is \$613,500, of which DSPUD's share is \$343,560. By Agreement the Sierra Lakes County Water District is responsible for the other \$269,940. The District intends to utilize funds collected from recent sewer permit sales to pay for these studies, however, those monies alone will not entirely cover the costs. To accommodate the shortfall, the 2009/10 budget includes estimated net revenues to pay for the remaining portion while still building a modest amount of funds for contingencies and/or reserves.

Steps the District Has Taken to Reduce Expenses

The District Budget Committee and staff recommended a number of cost-saving measures to the budget which were subsequently adopted by the Board of Directors including:

- salary and wage freeze at the 2008/09 levels,
- deferment of some maintenance and repair projects,
- reduction in chemical costs with new efficiency measures (a cost saving we secured in spite of the escalating cost to purchase chemicals)
- elimination of training for the Board and Administrative staff,
- a 50% cut in the Operations training budget,
- reduced vehicle fuel costs.

The District will continue to make every effort to manage costs and ensure effective service and increased accountability. Regrettably, in order to balance the 2009/10 budget a rate increase is necessary.

The impact of a 15% sewer rate increase is shown below:

Per 1 EDU	Annually	Per 1 Future EDU	Annually
Current Rate	\$1,103.16	Current Rate	\$457.20
Proposed Increase	\$165.47	Proposed Increase	\$68.58
Cost of New Rate	\$1,268.63	Cost of New Rate	\$525.78

As the proposed rate increase will not be effective until October 1, 2009, your service for July1, 2009 through September 30, 2009 will be billed at the current rate. Any increase that is approved will be amortized from October 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010.

How to Protest the Rate Increase, Per the Rules of Proposition 218

If you do not oppose these rate increases, you do not need to do anything further.

If you do oppose any of the proposed rate increases, your protest must be submitted in writing to be considered, even if you plan to attend the public hearing. Your written protest must be actually received (not post marked) by the Donner Summit Public Utility District prior to the close of the public hearing. Oral, telephone, and e-mail protests will not be accepted. The protest must be signed by you and include: 1) your name, 2) your service street address or assessor's parcel number(s), and 3) a statement of protest ("I/We protest). You may mail your written protest to: Donner Summit Public Utility District, P.O. Box 610, Soda Springs, CA 95728 or deliver your written protest to: Donner Summit Public Utility District, 53823 Sherritt Lane, Soda Springs, CA 95728.

For detailed budget information, go to the District's website at <u>www.dspud.com</u>. If you have any questions, please contact the District at 530-426-3456.

Donner Summit PUD Adopted Fiscal Year 2009/10 Operating Budget Summary

	V			_	ш	
55		ם		Wastewater	J	-
26		Water		Treatment		2009/10
22	Program Revenue	0% Increase	Sewer	15% Increase	Admin.	Adopted
28		8 335,398	- \$	- \$	- \$	\$ 335,398
23	$\overline{}$	41,265	1	1		41,265
8	-	r	263,600	7	1	1,054,399
91	Cal Trans Sewer	1	7,929	23,787	ı	31,716
62	Connection Fees	E.		•	1	1
63	Sierra Lakes County Water Dist.	-		405,190	-	405,190
64		1	24,883	109,618	r	134,500
65	G.O. Bond Revenue	8,000	8,000	1	t	16,000
99	Station 97 Utilities	t	•	ı	8,000	8,000
29		-	1	1	9,200	
88	-	20,000	1	1	J	20,000
69	_	1	I	•	•	0
20	Big Bend Service Fees	19,200	1	ŀ	1	19,200
7	Total Program Revenue	423,863	304,411	1,329,393	17,200	2,074,868
72						
23	The state of the s					
74						
72	-					
92		78,869	66,322	213,306	285,293	643,790
77	Employee benefits	28,174	23,691	76,197	49,293	177,355
78	Board Expense	1	1	ı	19,473	
26	Professional Services	2,000	2,000	2,000	57,500	66,500
8	IRS Payroll Back Taxes (previous admin.)	1	1	ŀ	22,200	
8	Dues	340		099	4,290	
82	Fees, permits, certifications, leases	7,178	3,000	18,777	18,561	47,510
83	Training, education, travel	875	250	2,500	0	3,625
84	-	12,000	12,000	30,000	000'9	
82		200	200	750	2,000	
88		22,000	23,500	148,000	24,800	
84	-	15,000	200	119,156	1	134,656
8		4,000		109,330		113,330
8		13,000	9,000	17,000	1,000	40,000
8		1,000	200	1,500	1	2,700
<u>9</u>	_	I		I	ı	0
92		2,500	2,000	2,500	1	7,000
93		1	10,000	1		10,000
95	Sludge removal	1	2,000	5,000	•	7,000
92		2,345	3,451	33,125		38,921
96	Facility maintenance and repair	8,000	2,000	8,000	7,000	25,000
97	Amortization of land lease	1	-	20,000	2,079	22,079
8	Long term de	39,880	21,618	238,428	-	299,926
හි	Total Expenses	240,661	182,032	1,046,223	499,490	1,968,406
9					ľ	
10	101 Net Revenue (Expense)	183,202	122,379	283,170	-482,290	106,462

Fiscal Year 2009/10 Water Department Adopted Operating Budget

	A	В	C	D	E
1	WATER				
2		2009/10			
3	Program Revenue	Adopted			
4	Water fees	335,398			
	Cal Trans	41,265			
	Connection fees	,			
	Property tax	-			
8	G.O. Bond Revenue and other loan	8,000			
9	Anticipated Const. Water Sales	20,000			
	Big Bend Service Fees	19,200			
11	Total Program Revenue	423,863			
12					
13	Program Expenses				
14	Salaries	78,869			
	Employee benefits	28,174			
	Board Expense	-			
17	Professional Services	5,000			
18	Dues and subscriptions	340			
19	Fees permits, certifications, leases	7,178			
	Training and education	875			
21	Insurance- property, auto, etc.	12,000			
22	Office supplies and miscellaneous	500			
23	Utilities, communications, telemetry	22,000			
	Chemicals and lab supplies	15,000			
	Laboratory Testing	4,000			
	Equipment maintenance and repair	13,000			
	Small equipment and rental	1,000			
	Interest expense- loans	-			
	Operating supplies	2,500			
	Infiltration-Inflow	-			
	Sludge removal				
	Vehicle maintenance, repair, fuel	2,345			
	Facility maintenance and repair	8,000			
	Amortization of land lease	-			
	Long term debt (principal and interest)	39,880			
	Total Expenses	240,661			
37					
	Net Revenue (Expense)	183,202			
39					
40					

Fiscal Year 2009/10 Sewer Department Adopted Operating Budget

	I A I	C	D	T E T	F	G
1	SEWER					
2		2009/10				
3	Program Revenue	Adopted				
4	Sewer fees	263,600	- 100			
5	Cal Trans	7,929				
6	Connection fees	. ,				
7	Sierra Lakes County Water District					
	Property tax	24.883				
9	G.O. Bond Revenue	8,000				
10	Total Program Revenue	304,411				
11						
12						
	Program Expenses					
	Salaries	66,322				
15	Employee benefits	23,691				
	Board Expense	-				
	Professional fees	2,000				
	Dues and subscriptions	-				
19	Fees,permits, certifications, leases	3,000				
20	Training and education	250				
	Insurance- property, auto, etc.	12,000				
	Office supplies and miscellaneous	500				
	Utilities, communications, telemetry	23,500				
	Chemicals and lab supplies	500				
	Equipment maintenance and repair	9,000				
	Small equipment and rental	200				
	Interest expense- loans	-				
	Operating supplies	2,000				
	Infiltration-Inflow	10,000				
	Sludge removal	2,000				
	Vehicle maintenance, repair, fuel	3,451				
	Facility maintenance and repair	2,000				
	Amortization of land lease					
	Long term debt (principal and interest)	21,618				
	Total Expenses	182,032				
36						ļ
	Net Revenue (expense)	122,379				
38						
39						
40						

Fiscal Year 2009/10 Wastewater Treatment Plant Adopted Operating Budget

	Α	E	F	G	1	l J	K
1	WASTEWATER TREATMENT	_	•				
2	THOTE WATER TREATMENT	2009/10					
	Program Revenue	Adopted					
4	Sewer fees	790,799					
5	Cal Trans	23,787				-	
	Connection fees	20,707					
	Property tax	109,618					
	Sierra Lakes allocation	405,190					
9	Anticipated Recycled Water Sales	405,190					
10	Total Program Revenue	1,329,393					
	Total Program Revenue	1,529,595					
11						-	
12			SLCWD				
13	n		Allocation				
	Program Expenses	040.000					
15	Salaries	213,306	93,855				
16	Employee benefits	76,197	33,527			-	_
	Board Expense		-				
	Professional Services	2,000	880				
19	Dues and subscriptions	660	290				
20	Fees,permits, certifications, leases	18,771	8,259				
21	Training and education	1,750	770				
	Travel	750	330				
	Insurance- property, auto, etc.	30,000	13,200				
24	Office supplies and miscellaneous	750	330				
	Utilities, communications, telemetry	148,000	65,120				
	Chemicals and lab supplies	119,156	52,429				
27	Laboratory Testing	109,330	48,105				
	Equipment maintenance and repair	17,000	7,480				
	Small equipment and rental	1,500	660				
	Interest expense- loans						
	Operating supplies	2,500	1,100				
	Infiltration-Inflow		-				
	Sludge removal	5,000	2,200				
	Vehicle maintenance, repair, fuel	33,125	14,575		,		
35	Facility maintenance and repair	8,000	3,520				
	Land Lease for Spray Irrigation	20,000	8,800				
37	Long term debt (principal and interest)	238,428	-				
38	Total Expenses	1,046,223	355,430	Sub-total			
39			49,760	14% Admin.			
40	Net Revenue (Expense)	283,170	405,190	Total			
41			33,766	Monthly			
42							
43							
43				<u> </u>			!

Fiscal Year 2009/10 Administrative Department Adopted Operating Budget

	A	G	Н	J
1	ADMINISTRATIVE			
2	ADMINIOTRATIVE	2009/10		
	Program Revenue	Adopted		
4	Late Charges	9,200		
5	Station 97 Utilities	8,000		
6	Total Program Revenue	17,200		
7	Total Flogram Nevende	17,200		·
8	5.MTAMPAGATUTTATT			
	Program Expenses			
	Salaries and wages	285,293		
	Employee benefits	49,293		
	Board expense	19,473		
	Professional Services	57,500		
	IRS Back Payroll Taxes (Grimm)	22,200		
	Dues	4,290		
	Fees, permits, leases	18,561		
	Training and education	10,001		
	Travel			
	Insurance	6,000		
	Office supplies and misc.	2,000		
	Utilities, communications	24,800		
	Chemicals and lab supplies	2-7,000		
	Equipment maintenance repair	1,000		
	Small equipment and rental	1,000		
	Interest expense			
	Operating Supplies			
	Sludge removal			
	Vehicle maintenance, repair, fuel			
	Facility maintenance and repair	7,000		
	Amortization of land lease	2,079		
	Long Term Debt (prinicpal and interest)	2,510		
32	Total Expenses	499,490		
33	Total Expenses	700,700	=	
	Net Revenue (Expense)	(482,290)		
35	itot itotonuo (maponoo)	(102,200)		
77				

Donner Summit Public Utility District

P.O. Box 610 53823 Sherritt Lane – Soda Springs California –95728 Phone (530) 426-3456 – Fax (530) 426-3460 www.dspud.com

The High Cost of Wastewater Treatment Beyond the Operating Costs

Every five years the Donner Summit Public Utility District (DSPUD) is required to renew its waste discharge permit which allows for discharge of treated effluent into the South Yuba River for much of the year. As explained in the District's Rate Increase Public Hearing Notice, the State of California adopted the DSPUD's permit in April 2009. The proposed rate increase however, only satisfies one aspect of waste treatment on Donner Summit, which is operations. During the next five years the District, in cooperation with the Sierra Lakes County Water District, will be studying and then selecting the most cost effective option to bring its treatment plant into compliance with the new permit. This may prove to be an expensive venture.

Wastewater infrastructure planning and construction throughout the United States is very expensive but for the dry western states and especially in the California foothills and mountains, it is even more expensive. International competition for materials and the high cost of sophisticated equipment is partially to blame. There are other questions you may have about these costs:

How does the increased testing technology affect costs?

As the technology to detect potentially harmful contaminants in wastewater improves, the state continues to issue more stringent treatment requirements. This creates a complex and expensive cycle; as labs detect contaminants at lower levels, our treatment processes must improve. We now measure contaminants in parts per BILLION whereas only seven years ago, we measured only in the parts per MILLION. When these tiny amounts are detected and are outside of the acceptable range, ratepayers are ultimately subject to mandatory minimum fines (commonly \$3,000 per day per violation), in addition to civil liability if their wastewater treatment plant violates state requirements.

Does our location affect costs?

A substantial portion of the cost to *treat* wastewater effluent is dependent on how the wastewater is *returned* to the environment (in effect, disposal). Disposal options are largely affected by geography, therefore, disposal of treated wastewater into a large river or into the ocean (two water bodies that offer lots of dilution), requires less treatment. Donner Summit, however, disposes to a small ephemeral stream—the South Yuba River—so the discharged wastewater has to be treated to a much higher level and at a much higher cost to adequately protect public health and the environment.

Does our community size impact costs?

When that higher treatment cost must be funded by a *small* community, the cost per person is much greater than it would be in Sacramento or San Francisco where economies of scale and lots of dilution keep treatment costs and sewer rates low.

What steps is the DSPUD taking to find funding and reduce the costs to rate payers?

DSPUD is actively investigating every available finance opportunity however without a final plan in place for what our improvements must encompass, we cannot begin the application process. The grant process is very complicated so we can only research options at the moment.

Doesn't the Federal Government provide money for improvements?

Most *existing* wastewater treatment infrastructure was paid for by Federal Clean Water Grants in the 70's and 80's — federal monies. While it is true that the ARRA grants of 2009 might provide money for our improvements, we cannot yet apply for the aforementioned reasons. It is also unclear what effect the Federal stimulus money will have to wastewater infrastructure since funds are slow to be released. The District is also investigating every other available public finance opportunity including low-interest loans.

Would winning the right to have dilution credits have been the best option for DSPUD?

It is possible that winning the option to use dilution credits for discharge into the South Yuba River would have saved the district a substantial amount of money. There were many factors to consider in the permit process and the state made a decision not to award dilution because of environmental concerns and input from multiple sources. Regardless of the outcome, the permit requirements have now been set and DSPUD is working diligently to meet the permit requirements, minimize costs to ratepayers and all the while, protect the environment.

In summary, the study and planning process for how to economically, equitably, and successfully meet the more stringent requirements of the District's new permit is just beginning. The public can be assured that we are doing all we can to find an equitable solution that minimizes negative impacts. Please follow our progress through meeting notices and documents that are posted regularly on the District's website www.dspud.com.

July 24, 2009