

APPROVED

**DONNER SUMMIT PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT**  
**P.O. BOX 610 – SODA SPRINGS – CALIFORNIA – 95728**  
TELEPHONE (530) 426-3456 – FAX (530) 426-3460

**Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Directors**  
**Held: March 2, 2010**

**STAFF PRESENT:** Tom Skjelstad, General Manager

**OTHERS PRESENT:** Geoffrey O. Evers, General Counsel, by telephone from 8732 Casa Del Rio Court, Fair Oaks, CA 95628; Amber Waddle; Susan Snyder

**1. Call to Order**

The Special Meeting of March 2, 2010 of the Donner Summit Public Utility District Board of Directors was called to order at 6:05 p.m. by President Cathy Preis, at 53823 Sherritt Lane Soda Springs, California.

**2. Roll Call**

Cathy Preis, President	- Present
Dave Oneto, Vice President	- Present
Bob Sherwood, Secretary	- Present
Philip Gamick, Director	- Present
Taylor Dolph, Director	- Present

**3. Public Participation**

None

**4. Resolution**

Susan Snider addressed the Board with the following questions:

- Why does the General Manager's memo of March 1, 2010 refer to the project as an improvement project but the State Revolving Fund Tax Questionnaire describes the project as a plant expansion and upgrade project?
- Who would pay for the expansion portion of the plant, if the DSPUD intends on including expansion as a component of the facilities design plan? In the past, the General Manager has stated that the financial burden of plant expansion would not be placed on the current rate payers' back.
- How could the General Manager see the Pendola properties as a viable developer and how could it be assessed?
- How does DSPUD propose to break down cost responsibility for expansion vs. upgrade with the State? Is DSPUD

planning on telling the State that its current rate payers aren't about to pay for expansion costs?

- Is there an agreement between DSPUD and SLCWD that states the two districts will share in the financing?
- Asked about the connection numbers referenced by Meghan Brown in her memo?
- Asked about the numerous project components listed under item #1 of the Tax Questionnaire?
- Asked about the cross stream diffuser?
- What is the difference between items #2 and #6 on the Tax Questionnaire?
- Why was item #13 on the Tax Questionnaire (recycled water use) answered no?
- Suggested that the two other comments received via email to the General Manager's office be included as public comments.

Manager Skjelstad explained the purpose of Resolution 2010-03 is to meet the requirements of the State Revolving Fund request. The dollar amounts on the Tax Questionnaire were conservatively high as suggested by Meghan Brown.

- a.) RESOLUTION 2010-03 of the Donner Summit Public Utility District Reimbursement Resolution

**Motion: Adopt RESOLUTION 2010-03 of the Donner Summit Public Utility District Reimbursement Resolution**

By: Phil Gamick

Second: Bob Sherwood

Roll Call Vote

Bob Sherwood - Aye

Phil Gamick - Aye

Dave Oneto - Aye

Cathy Preis - Aye

Taylor Dolph - Aye

**Motion Carries**

## 5. Adjournment

**Motion: Adjourn Meeting at 6:50 P.M.**

By: Bob Sherwood

Second: Taylor Dolph

Vote: 5 Ayes, 0 Noes, 0 Absent, 0 Abstain

**Motion Carries**

Donner Summit Public Utility District  
Special Board Meeting – Minutes  
March 2, 2010

### Schedule of Upcoming Meetings

Regular Meeting - March 16, 2010  
Regular Meeting - April 20, 2010  
Regular Meeting - May 18, 2010

These minutes were taken from notes by Tom Skjelstad and a member of the public.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tom Skjelstad', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Thomas G. Skjelstad  
General Manager